

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/915,028	07/25/2001	Hassan K. Sreenath	096429-9108	7045
23510	7590 07/09/2003			
MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP ONE SOUTH PINCKNEY STREET P O BOX 1806			EXAMINER	
			PRATS, FRANCISCO CHANDLER	
MADISON, WI 53701			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	10
		•	DATE MAILED: 07/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Applicant(s) Application No. SREENATH, HASSAN K. 09/915,028 **Art Unit Examiner** 1651 Francisco C Prats

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 26 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

PERIOD FOR REPLY [Check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.	INAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply origing (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nally set in the final Office action; or date of the final rejection, even if
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the	d set forth in e appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see	NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by material issues for appeal; and/or	
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of final	ly rejected claims.
NOTE: see attachment.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	a de la Claudia una condense de
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a sepa canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been conside application in condition for allowance because: see attachment.	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to it raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) contained explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below	will be entered and an or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
 10. Other:	
	Francisco C Prats Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1651

. Art Unit: 1651

ATTACHMENT TO ADVISORY ACTION

The after-final amendment filed June 26, 2002 (certificate of mailing of June 23, 2002), has been received. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code, not included in this action can be found in a prior office action.

The after-final amendment filed June 26, 2002, will not be entered because it raises new issues for search and consideration. Specifically, the claims now require the saccharification and fermentation steps to be conducted simultaneously. Simultaneous saccharification and fermentation has not been searched or considered previously. Thus, the amendment clearly raises a new issue for search and consideration. Had the new limitation been already present in the claims, a different search would have been conducted and different prior art applied. Similarly, the new limitation requiring the sausage casings to be unwashed requires additional previously unrequired consideration vis-à-vis the prior art. Non-entry of his amendment is clearly proper at this stage of prosecution.

Regarding the information disclosure statement filed with the response of June 26, 2002, the information disclosure statement fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(c) because it lacks a statement as specified in 37 CFR 1.97(e). It has been placed in

Application/Control Number: 09/915,028

• Art Unit: 1651

1

the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

With respect to the pending grounds of rejection, all of applicant's argument has been fully considered but is not persuasive of error. While applicant urges in the response and specification at page 4, lines 8-17, that the presence of nitrate or nitrite would have been expected to inhibit microorganisms, it is again pointed out applicant has not provided any evidence to support this assertion. Moreover, in view of the fact that it was known in the art at the time of applicant's invention that lactobacilli were capable of growth in the presence of nitrates and nitrites, applicant's argument regarding the lack of reasonable expectation of success is not supported in the prior art.

It is noted that the proposed new limitation requiring the casings to be unwashed essentially means that nitrate/nitrite concentrations would be in amounts used for preservative purposes. However, it is also pointed out that lactobacilli themselves have been previously used in sausages as preservatives. Had the "unwashed" limitation been present in claims before the final rejection, such prior art could have been applied. However, in view of the non-entry of the proposed amendment, such prior art is essentially unnecessary, since the

Application/Control Number: 09/915,028 Page 4 • Art Unit: 1651 claims encompass nitrate/nitrite amounts small enough to not be expected to inhibit bacterial growth. No claims are allowed. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Francisco C Prats whose telephone number is 703-308-3665. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, with alternate Fridays off. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G Wityshyn can be reached on 703-308-4743. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196. Francisco C Prats Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651 FCP July 9, 2003